

					Туре	of l	Decision					
Meeting Date	October 2	4, 2002	2				Report Date	Oct	ober 16, 2	2002		
Decision Reque	sted	х	Yes		No		Priority	х	High		Low	
		Dire	ction O	nly			Type of Meeting	х	Open		Closed	

Report Title

Contract 2002-53, Valley East Waste Water Treatment Plant, Clarifier & Ferric Tank Upgrades

	Policy Implication + Budget Impact	Recommendation
x	This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified.	
		That Contract 2002-53, Valley East Waste Water Treatment Plant, Clarifier & Ferric Tank Upgrades, be awarded to Cecchetto & Sons Ltd., in the tendered amount of \$169,154.16, this being the lowest tender meeting all contract specifications.
X	Background Attached	Recommendation Continued
L		

Recommended by the General Manager

Don Bélisle

General Manager of Public Works

Recommended by the C.A.O.

Mark Mieto

Acting Chief Administrative Officer



Recommendation continued	х	Background					
Please indicate if the information below is	s a conti	nuation of the Recommendation or Background					
Report Prepared By		Division Review					
Posebste		,					
J. Paul Graham, P. Eng. Plants Engineer							

Tenders for Contract 2002-53, Valley East Waste Water Treatment Plant, Clarifier & Ferric Tank Upgrades were opened at the Tender Opening Committee meeting at 2:30 p.m., local time, Tuesday, October 15, 2002, as follows:

BIDDER	TOTAL \$ TENDERED AMOUNT
Cecchetto & Sons Ltd.	169,154.16
R. M. Belanger Limited	217,745.00
Copper Cliff Mechanical & Steel Fabricating (1994) Limited	220,738.86
Tesc Contracting Company Ltd.	231,863.39
Nor Eng Construction & Engineering Inc.	234,330.00

All tenders have been reviewed and found to be in order.

The lowest tender meeting all contract specifications was submitted by Cecchetto & Sons Ltd. in the tendered amount of \$169,154.16, this being the lowest tender meeting all contract specifications and is recommended for approval.

The Engineer's estimate for this tender is \$242,890.00 and this work is funded from the approved 2001 and 2002 Waste Water Envelope.



					Ту	pe of	Decision				
Meeting Date	October 2	4, 2002	2				Report Date	Octo	ber 16, 2	2002	
Decision Request	ed	x	Yes		No		Priority	x	High		Low
		Dire	ction Or	nly			Type of	х	Open		Closed

Report Title

Award of Tender Rental of Operated Bulldozers/Loader for Snow Dump Operations 2002 - 2005

	Policy Implication + Budget Impact	Recommendation
x	Policy Implication + Budget Impact This report and recommedation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified.	That the tender for the Rental of Bulldozer/Loader for Snow Dump Operations be awarded to O.C.L. Trucking & Excavating Ltd., this being the lowest tender meeting all specifications.
х	Background Attached	Recommendation Continued

D. Bélisle
General Manager of Public Works

M. Mieto
Acting Chief Administrative Officer



Recommendation continued x Background

Please indicate if the information below is a continuation of the Recommendation or Background

Report Prepared By

Ray Martin Manager of Fleet **Division Review**

Maurice Montpellier Director of Operations

Tenders for the Rental of Bulldozer/Loader for Snow Dump Operations were opened at the Tender Opening Committee meeting of October 8, 2002. The contract is for the supply and operation of a bulldozer and a loader to push snow at the Snow Dump. The contractor must also bid a rate for a larger bulldozer to be available upon request for periods of high volume. The estimated total value is \$240,000.00. The tender is for a three (3) year period and the tender results are as follows:

BIDDER	Hourly Rate	Hourly Rate	Hourly Rate
	1 Bulldozer	1 Large Bulldozer	1 Loader
O.C.L. Trucking & Excavating Ltd.	\$65.00	\$95.00	\$68.00
Ethier Sand & Gravel Limited	\$85.00		\$85.00
Pioneer Construction Inc.	\$125.00	\$120.00	\$100.00
Denis Gratton Transport Ltd.	\$69.00	\$135.00	\$69.00
William Day Construction Ltd.	\$118.00		\$120.00
Tate's			\$125.00

The tenders have been reviewed and all found to be in order.

Award is recommended to the lowest bidder, O.C.L. Trucking & Excavating Ltd.

Funding for this work is provided from the current operating budgets for winter control on municipal roads.



					Туре	of	Decision					
Meeting Date	October 2	4 th , 20	002				Report Date	Octo	ober 16 th ,	2002		
Decision Reque	ested	Х	Yes		No		Priority	х	High		Low	
		Di	rection O	nly			Type of	Х	Open		Closed	

Report Title

Award of Tender for Provision of Handi-Transit Services in the City of Greater Sudbury

Policy Implication + Budget Impact This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified. THAT the contract for delivery of Handi-Transit services in the City of Greater Sudbury be awarded to Leuschen Bros. Limited, this being the lowest price and highest scoring bid that meets the criteria outlined in the RFP. The tender price is \$1,287,195.75 plus applicable taxes for the period May 5th, 2003, to May 4th, 2008, with an option to extend the contract on a yearly basis for a period of an additional four (4) years. Background Attached Recommendation

Recommended by the General Manager

General Manager, Citizen & Leisure Services

Recommended by the C.A.O.

Acting Chief Administrative Officer

Date: October 16th, 2002

Report Prepared By

Robert Johnston
Director of Transportation Services

Division Review

Robert Johnston
Director of Transportation Services

Requests for Proposals (RFP's) for the City of Greater Sudbury Handi-Transit service closed Tuesday, September 17th, 2002. The RFP represents the final phase of harmonizing the Handi-Transit service within the City of Greater Sudbury, essentially providing the service provider(s) the ability to transport clients across previous municipal boundaries using a central dispatch service for the entire system.

The proposal was structured in a manner that enabled proponents to bid on the entire contract or separately on any of the service areas identified below, as well as the central dispatch service. This provided fairness and equity to the proposal process by providing flexible bidding options to those interested, especially the smaller companies. All prospective bidders were pre-qualified, and only those meeting the qualifications were provided a Request For Proposal package.

Service Area A - defined to be the geographic boundaries of the City of Sudbury and the Town of Nickel Centre prior to amalgamation into the City of Greater Sudbury.

Service Area B - defined to be the geographic boundaries of the City of Valley East and the Town of Capreol prior to amalgamation into the City of Greater Sudbury.

Service Area C - defined to be the geographic boundaries of the Town of Walden prior to amalgamation into the City of Greater Sudbury.

Service Area D - defined to be the geographic boundaries of the Town of Rayside-Balfour & the Town of Onaping Falls prior to amalgamation into the City of Greater Sudbury.

Central Dispatch - provide scheduling & dispatch service for the entire system.

A mandatory Proposal Information Meeting was held in August prior to the RFP to ensure all proponents were properly briefed on the proposal process. In addition to disseminating information, this meeting also provided an opportunity for proposers to ask questions concerning the contract documents.

A set of Evaluation Criteria and an Evaluation Committee was set out in the RFP document as the means of evaluating and awarding the contract to the successful bidder(s).

Title: Award of Tender for Provision of Handi-Transit Services in the City of Greater Sudbury

Date: October 16th, 2002

Evaluation Criteria

Price 50% Experience 20% Customer Relations 20% Scheduling 10%

Evaluation Committee

Darryl Mathe, Manager of Supplies & Services Bob Johnston, Director of Transportation Services Roger Sauvé, Greater Sudbury Transit Manager Robert Gauthier, Supervisor of Transit Operations

Evaluation of Proposals

The table below illustrates the bids received for each service area and the central dispatch service.

	Leuschen Bros. Limited	Bill Martin's Bus Lines	Northway Bus Lines Inc.	First Bus Canada Limited
Service Area A	\$772,990.75	No Bid	No Bid	\$1,158,430.00
Service Area B	\$161,475.00	No Bid	\$187,349	\$247,900.00
Service Area C	\$110,980.00	\$167,999	No Bid	\$160,800.00
Service Area D	\$79,750.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$120,600.00
Central Dispatch	\$162,000.00	No Bid	No Bid	\$214,500.00
Total Bid	\$1,287,195.75			\$1,902,230.00

As illustrated above, the prices submitted by Leuschen Bros. Limited were substantially lower than all other bids for each service area and the dispatch service. Their total evaluation score based on the evaluating criteria set out in the RFP was 97 percent, again significantly greater than any of the competing proposals.

Leuschen Bros. Limited (formerly Estaire Bus Lines) have had a long history of providing exemplary Handi-Transit service to citizens of the City of Sudbury and Nickel Centre prior to amalgamation, and continue to provide this service today to the same geographic area of the City of Greater Sudbury. They are fully capable of expanding their role and assuming the full scope of the contract to the entire City of Greater Sudbury.

Although the proposal provided for the possibility of multiple service providers, there are obvious benefits to having one service provider for the entire City of Greater Sudbury. The consistency of

Title: Award of Tender for Provision of Handi-Transit Services in the City of Greater Sudbury

Date: October 16th, 2002

service, ease of collecting and reconciling fares, more efficient deployment of vehicles and coordination of customer pick-ups, as well as a more streamlined contract administration with respect to paying invoices and responding to customer complaints, are a few of these benefits.

The overall budget for the Handi-Transit service in 2002 was \$1,373,000. It should be noted that the Leuschen Bros. Limited proposal price in the amount of \$1,287,195.75 is well within budget.

It is recommended that the Handi-Transit contract be awarded to Leuschen Bros. Limited in the amount of \$1,287,195.75 plus applicable taxes, for the period May 5, 2003, to May 4, 2008, with an option to extend the contract on a yearly basis for a period of an additional four (4) years.



					Туре	of l	Decision					
Meeting Date	October 2	4, 2002	2				Report Date	Octo	ober 10, 2	2002		
Decision Reque	sted	х	Yes		No		Priority	x	High		Low	
aran		Dire	ction O	nly			Type of Meeting	х	Open		Closed	

Report Title

Disposal of Surplus Fill, Contract 2002-23- MR 80 Upgrading - Yvon Despatie

		_	
	Policy Implication + Budget Impact		Recommendation
n/a	This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified.		п
			That due to a lack of suitable land available for disposal of excavated material for contract 2002-23 (MR 80 Upgrading), that disposal take place on Part of Lot 2, Concession 1, designated as Part 1 - 53R-12520, Parcel 49039 S.E.S., Hanmer Township, City of Greater Sudbury, owned by Yvon Despatie.
X	Background Attached		Recommendation Continued
L		. L	

Recommended by the General Manager

D. Bélisle Control General Manager of Public Works

Recommended by the C.A.O.

M. Mieto
Acting Chief Administrative Officer



Recommendation continued

x Background

Please indicate if the information below is a continuation of the Recommendation or Background

Report Prepared By

Kevin J. Shaw, P. Eng. Manager of Construction Services **Division Review**

Ron Norton, P. Eng.

Acting Director of Engineering Services

Regional Policy previously established by the Public Works Committee, required that surplus material from construction projects be disposed of on public property with the exception that property owners providing easements may obtain the material from the easement. Resolution 83-113 of the Engineering Committee and the report dated August 30, 1983, outline the policy (see attached).

The City of Greater Sudbury has provided a location at the Valley East Gravel Pit and we feel as such, that alternate locations may be required to receive excess fill.

A request has been received from Yvon Despatie, who has land suitable for such disposal on Dominion Drive. The property is described as Part of Lot 2, Concession 1, designated as Part 1 - 53R-12520, Parcel 49039 S.E.S., City of Greater Sudbury, as shown on the attached plan.



LETTER OF CONSENT

This is to confirm that the City of Greater Sudbury and its contractors have my authority
to enter and dump excess excavated material from Contract 2002-23 - Hw1. 69
VURTH (MR 80) WE RADING - BEAVEN AVE TO 300 m NOF FROS, Onto my property known as PT OF LOT 2
CON 1 DESIGNATED AS PT 1 53R-12520 PCL 49039 SES, Township of HANMER
CITY OF GREATER SUNBURY.

It is understood that levelling of the fill will be my responsibility. I will direct the City and/or its contractors where to place the material and will ensure that any flood plain land is not filled and that any drainage courses are not obstructed.

(Signature of Owner)

Name of Owner (please print)

OCT 01 , 2002

G:\engr\KEVIN\letter of consent.wpd



Interoffice Correspondence

Date: Sf	<u> 18/02</u>
TO:	Al Bonis
FROM:	K. Shaw
RE:	Inquiry on Flood Plain
We have red	ceived a request to provide fill material on ft of Lot 2 Con 1 ED AS ST. 1 53R - 12520 PCL 49039 SES TWP. PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SKETCH. (PLAN 53R-1252)
DESIGNAT	ED AS ST. 1 53R-12520 PCL 49039 SES
HANMER	TWP. PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SKETCH. (PLAN 53R-1252
	250
	K.J. Shaw Manager, Construction Services
Please indic	ate if this property is situated in flood plain:
	YES NO X
Additional R	emarks:
	opt. 23, 2002 Signature:
Dated:	opi. 23 wc Signature:

Policy - Disposal of Surplus Excavated Material Regional Construction projects

Report dated August 30, 1983 was received from the Regional Engineer regarding policy for the disposal of surplus excavated material from Regional construction projects.

83-113 Peck-Hayduk: That the present policy respecting the disposal of surplus excavated material from Regional construction projects be reconfirmed:

- 1. That the material be placed on public properties only, except for work carried out on easements.
- 2. For work carried out on easements the surplus material generated from the easement can be left on the abutting property.

of Surplus Excavated Material Regional Construction Projects (Cont'd)

Policy - Disposal · 3. If suitable public property is not available for disposal of surplus material, alternate arrangements be approved by the Engineering Committee for dispsosal of material.

CARRIED



Regional Municipality of Sudbury

M For Action	gional Engineer Date <u>August 30, 1</u> Fie No
☐ Planning Committee	Suctoury Regional Development Corp
☑ Engineering Committee .	☐ Finance Committee
Health and Social Services Committee	☐ PAC
Committee of the Whole	☐ Council ☐ Other

<u>Subject</u>

Disposal of surplus excavated material from Regional construction projects.

Recommendation

That the present policy respecting the disposal of surplus excavated material from Regional construction projects be re-confirmed:

- 1. That the material be placed on public properties only, except for work carried out on easements.
- 2. For work carried out on easements the surplus material generated from the easement can be left on the abutting property.
- 3. If suitable public property is not available for disposal of surplus material, alternate arrangements be approved by the Engineering Committee for disposal of the material.

RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL	
SIGNATURE	P.J. Morrow, P.Eng. Regional Engineer

Background

The matter of disposal of surplus excavated material from Regional construction projects was requested to be brought back to the Committee for examination. This matter has been to the Committee on several occasions in the past.

Engineering Committee
Re: Disposal of surplus excavated material
August 30, 1983

Background - continued

Resolutions 82-15 and 74-28 of the Committee and reports dated January 28, 1982 and February 6, 1974 outline the policy. The policy requires that surplus material be disposed of on public property with the exception that property owners providing easements may obtain material from the easement. If, however, we do not have a public property suitable for disposal within a reasonable haul distance from the construction site, the matter is to be referred to the Engineering Committee.

Such has been the case for the 1983 Algonquin Road project and the Vermilion Lake Road project.

We are still of the opinion that surplus material should go to public properties, or lands abutting an easement and that disposal should not become the responsibility of the contractors. If left in the hands of the contractors disposal could be carried out on individual properties in the construction area and unsightly areas could develop where the material is dumped. When the public property is unavailable, other arrangements would only be carried out with the concurrence of your Commitwoold only be carried out with the concurrence of your Committee. The preferred alternate arrangement is disposal on large parcels of private property such as has been agreed to for the Algonquin Road and Vermilion Lake Road Projects.

Attachments

40-2 CAUTION: THIS PLAN IS NOT A PLAN OF SUBDIVISION WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE PLANNING ACT. D. S. DORLAND, O.L.S., 1990 PLAN 53R-12520 REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF SUBBURY TOWNSHIP OF HANMER TOWN OF VALLEY EAST I REQUIRE THIS PLAN TO BE DEPOSITED UNDER DISTRICT OF SUDBURY PART I - PART OF PARCEL 22020 N' SES 45 - 10 - 0661 - 24 PART OF LOT CONCESSION SCALE : I INCH = 100 FEET PLAN OF SURVEY OF SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE RECEIVED AND DEPOSITED JAN. 24 1930 Jes. 23 1920 ON3937 MOTE 3977 3 RENAINDER OF PARCEL 382 S.E.S. - 455 NA14 0442378 PARCEL -- CONCESSION 2 PROFILE CONCESSION ! 1 TALK STALT 1 'n PARCEL 45079 5.E.S. ×0′s 0 F 1311cHC *('\'* ν LTPAVELLED POAD KNOWN AS DP11157 REMAINWER OF PARCEL Hê6 S.E.S. IJ TOWNSHIP () NOININOCI このと 1459 BODSOW 0 7 2, PART -TRAVELLED POAD KNOWN AS YVON DESPATIÉ PARCEL 22020 H' S.E.S. 105# D. S. DORLAND LTD.
ONTARO LAND SURVEYORS
SEO LANCH STREET
SUDBURY, ONTARD 3 N33N13 S.E.S. 236 PARCEL HENY WIDEH



				Туре	of E	Decision				
Meeting Date	October 2	4, 200)2			Report Date				
Decision Requ	ested	Х	Yes	No		Priority	х	High	Low	
	200 de 1 200 de 1 200 de 1	Dir	ection O	nly		Type of	х	Open	Closed	

Report Title

The Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Realty Taxes - Sections 442, 442 and 443 of The Municipal Act

	Policy Implication + Budget Impact	Recommendation
	This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified. N/A	That City Council authorize the cancellation,
		reduction or refund of Realty Taxes pursuant to Sections 441, 442 and 443 of The Municipal Act, in accordance with the Report from the General Manager of Corporate Services / Acting General Manager of Emergency Services dated October 15, 2002.
X	Background Attached	Recommendation Continued

Recommended by the General Manager

General Manager of Corporate Services /
Acting General Manager of Emergency Services

Recommended by the C.A.O.

M. Mieto Acting Chief Administrative Officer Title: The Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Realty Taxes -

Sections 442, 442 and 443 of The Municipal Act

Reviewed By: Mary Lynn Gauvreau, Manager of Current Accounting Operations

Date: October 15, 2002

Report Prepared By

Division Review

T. Derro

Supervisor of Tax / Chief Tax Collector

S. Jonasson

Director of Finance / City Treasurer

Sections 441, 442 and 443 of The Municipal Act provide the authority for the cancellation, reduction or refund of realty taxes.

Section 441:

Section 441 authorizes the cancellation of realty taxes deemed by the Treasurer to be uncollectable. This may include, but is not limited to, taxes resulting from wrongful assessment, properties obtaining exemption status, property acquisitions by the municipality, or realty taxes that exceed the value of the land. The Council of the Municipality may direct the Treasurer to remove such uncollectable taxes from the Collector's Roll.

Section 442:

Section 442 authorizes the cancellation, reduction or refund of realty taxes in the current year for such reasons as change in rate of taxation, change in tax status, fire/demolition or gross error. Section 442 applications are verified by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation and processed by the municipality.

Section 443:

Section 443 authorizes the reduction of realty taxes for clerical errors such as errors in keypunching, transposition of figures or mathematical calculations. Such errors occur with the preparation of the assessment roll and are confirmed by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation prior to the tax adjustment by the municipality. Section 443 applications apply to the two (2) taxation years prior to the year in which the error(s) was made.

The Treasurer's recommendations for the cancellation, reduction or refund of realty taxes under The Municipal Act is presented to Council for approval.

Attached for Council's information and action is Schedule "A" summarizing the tax adjustments by authority, reason and amount. Also attached is Schedule "B" which provides a more detailed property-by-property description of the tax adjustments.

Council should be aware that upcoming changes to The Municipal Act in 2003 may alter this process, however, Council will be kept apprised of any new developments in this regard.

SCHEDULE 'A'

ADJUSTMENT OF TAXES UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT

Reason for Adjustment	Applications	Amount of Taxes
Fire / Demolition	24	\$19,445.02
Became Exempt	10	\$40,845.02
Reduced Assessment	1	\$1,193.69
Gross of Manifest Clerical Error	10	\$7,186.97
Change in Tax Class Rate	32	\$52,177.09
Uncollectible Taxes	5	\$1,334.22
TOTAL:	82	\$122,182.01

Sharing Ratio	City	Education	Total
	68.93%	31.07%	100.00%
	\$84,219.92	\$37,962.09	\$122,182.01

Schedule 'B' RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT R.S.O. 1990

REASON: FIRE AND OR DEMOLITION

Council Meeting of: October 24, 2002

								WWW.WW.WW.WW.
Item #	Roll #	Location	Assessed Property Owner	Year	# Days	Amount	Total	Comments
	E2 07 040 022 00500 0000 0	325 Antumnwood Grescent	REYNOLDS. Bruce & Theresa	2002	365	105.33	105.33	
- 6	53.07.010.033.00500.0000.0	325 Aufumnwood Grescent	REYNOLDS, Bruce & Theresa	2001	214	59.88	59.88	
1 rc	53 07 020 010 05100.0000.0	1217 Falconbridge Road	823680 ONARIO INC.	2001	172	377.03	377.03	omit to follow
9 (53 07 030 027 06200 0000 0	1385 Beaumont Avenue	DALRON CONSTRUCTION LTD	2001	77	2,178.84	2178.84	
^	53.07.070.011.01000.0000.0	112 Beech Street	BEECH LANE HOLDINGS (SUDBURY) INC	2001	210	744.37	744.37	
. α	53 07 070 023 04200 0000.0	555 Bruce Avenue	BRUJIC, Rade, BRUJIC, Nada	2001	102	256.88	256.88	now vacant land
	53 07 030 040 00300 0000 0	891 Lasalle Blvd	TEMELINI, Marta & Maria Antonietta P & ZANETTI, Mary	1999	43	55.59	55.59	
, 5	╁	679 Lorne Street	900362 ONTARIO INC.	2000	153	833.34	833.34	
= =====================================	1-	54 Durham Street	1332022 ONTARIO INC.	2001	365	9,543.74	9543.74	building demolished, now vacant land
;	-	246 Larch Street	LOUGHEED'S LIMITED	2000	73	317.00	317	building demolished, to be used as parking lot for Jackson & Barnard
7 5	+	31 Elain Street	1311928 ONTARIO INC.	2001	170	643.24	643.24	
2 4	+-	3070 Regent Street	ETHIER SAND AND GRAVEL LIMITED	2000	215	2,669.46	2669.46	towers removed
, £	+	421 Cross Street	DAVIES, Susan	2001	31	8.67	8.67	
2 4	+	421 Cross Street	DAVIES, Susan	2002	365	105.33	105.33	
7	+	421 Morin Avenue	ZARBINSKI, Louise & KRYSTIA, Betty	2001	319	14.88	14.88	garage collapsed from heavy snow
φ.	+	418 Queen Street		2001	33	9.23	9.23	garage demolished
2 2	+-	418 Queen Street	MARTEL, Aldora	2002	365	105.33	105.33	garage demolished
2 8	_	13 Venice Street	INCO LIMITED	2001	2	148.55	148.55	
7	+	13 Venice Street	INCO LIMITED	2002	365	798.72	798.72	
22	╁	0 Wayne Road	GALBA, Cesira & Georgina	2001	244	147.95	147.95	
23	 	0 Wayne Road	GALBA, Cesira & Georgina	2002	365	228.20	228.2	
24	 	0 Moxam Landing Road	TODD, Bryan Earle & Valentina	2001	8	93.46	93.46	
25								
56								
27								
28								
29								
္က				1		40445.03	10445 02 40 445 02	
31			TOTAL:			19445.02	19,449.02	

Schedule 'B' RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT R.S.O. 1990

REASON: BECAME EXEMPT

								Council Meeting of: October 24, 2002
Item	m Roll#	Location	Assessed Property Owner	Year		Amount	Total	Comments
*					Days			
_	53.07.210.006.01800.0000.0	154 Church Street	INCORPORATED SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF ALGOMA	2001	211	1,514.90	1,514.90	
7	<u> </u>	172 St. Agnes Street	NORTHERN YOUTH SERVICES INC.	2002	365	2,019.41	2,019.41	
ო	-	172 St. Agnes Street	NORTHERN YOUTH SERVICES INC.	2001	122	651.72	651.72	
4		1311 Gemmell Street	ROMAN CATHOLIC SEPARATE SCHOOL BOARD - Sudbury District	2000	245	6,169.98	6,169.98	no longer commercial
-	 	4244 Cemmon Street	ROMAN CATHOLIC SEPARATE SCHOOL BOARD -	2004	1	0 545 41	9 545 41	property exempt for 2001
ی د	+	O Fim Street	CANADIAN PACIFIC RAIL WAY	1999		4.370.11	4.370.11	consolidated to Farmers' Market
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	95 Elm Street	CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY	1999	1	116.59	116.59	consolidated to Farmers' Market
• •	†	2260 Treeview Road	SUDBURY DISTRICT ASSOCIATION FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED	2000	185	4,550.19	4,550.19	entire property became exempt as of June 30, 2000
6		2260 Treeview Road	SUDBURY DISTRICT ASSOCIATION FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED	2001	365	11,477.75	11,477.75	entire property became exempt as of June 30, 2000
9		1292 Roy Avenue	L'ARCHE SUDBURY INC	2000	164	428.96	428.96	
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30			TOTAL:			40,845.02	40,845.02	

Schedule 'B'

RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT R.S.O. 1990

REASON: Asessment Reduced

Item #

	4						
	1 193 69	1 193 69			· IATOT		
							0.000
recommended by Municipal Property Asessment Corporation	1.193.69	1.193.69	365	2002	1058031 ONTARIO INC.	O I skovicow Dark	52 07 000 040 08500 0000 0
			-		-		
Comments	Total	Amount	#	Year	Accessed Property Owner	Location	# JI CO
Council Meeting of: October 24, 2002							

5 5

œ

2 2

Schedule 'B'

RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT R.S.O. 1990 REASON: GROSS OR MANIFEST CLERICAL ERROR

					 			Council Meeting of: October 24, 2002
Ifem #	Roll#	Location	Assessed Property Owner	Year	# Days	Amount	Total	Comments
-	53.07.090.023.09902.0000.0	154 Pond Hollow Drive	MCKENZIE, William	2000	214	1,803.08	1,803.08	Omitted Assessment for 2000 should not have been issued/M. Weston
2	53.07.170.026.12004.0000.0	228 Onwatin Lake Road E	TRITES, Norman Ralph / KETT, Wanda	1999	365	301.76	301.76	
က	53.07.170.026.12004.0000.0	228 Onwatin Lake Road E	TRITES, Norman Ralph / KETT, Wanda	2000	365	401.14	401.14	
4	53.07.010.029.10400.0000.0	784 Kingsway	MAR MOTORS LIMITED	2001	365	994.49	994.49	new base year - value different from 2000
2	53.07.080.002.01700.0000.0	1 Gribble Street	SUDBURY REGIONAL CREDIT UNION LIMITED	1999	90	973.21	973.21	supp error eff date s/b Apr 01 not Jan 01
9	53.07.130.001.25800.0000.0	2208 Vermillion Lake Rd	GOUDREAU, Roma & Susan	2000	366	119.64	119.64	
7	53.07.130.005.03101.0000.0	23 Gerard Avenue	WYLIE, Harold & Dawn	2000	366	134.10	134.10	
œ	53.07.150.002.04500.0000.0	15 Fourth Avenue	CHEDORE, Chester Allan & Winnifred Frances	2000	215	85.69	85.69	
6	53.07.160.022.00800.0000.0	30 Notre Dame Ave	BEST, Alexander John & Marsha Ann	1999	365	1735.48	1735.48	
10	53.07.090.001.03700.0000.0	Con 01 Lot 03 Pcl 29183 SR Location JDD 588	MCKECHNIE, Emma	2001	365	638.38	638.38	
7								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
59								
و روز			TOTAL:			7186.97	7186.97	

RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT R.S.O. 1990 REASON: CHANGE IN TAX CLASS / RATE

Council Meeting of: October 24, 2002

Comments		Laurentian Hotel demolished		C	4	8		0			2	0	3	2	9				6		9	8		9		7			4	4	6	<u></u>
Total		1,536.91	7,489.17	8,728.50	3,313.94	3,127.48	222.97	2,398.70	884.85	1,715.55	290.35	3,650.50	26.03	9.22	594.16	586.31	653.37	640.35	165.69	278.27	79.56	3,960.38	811.57	794.46	516.11	4,323.87	221.31	74.37	19.44	2297.84	1232.19	764.73
Amount		1,536.91	7,489.17	8,728.50	3,313.94	3,127.48	222.97	2,398.70	884.85	1,715.55	290.35	3,650.50	26.03	9.22	594.16	586.31	653.37	640.35	165.69	278.27	79.56	3,960.38	811.57	794.46	516.11	4,323.87	221.31	74.37	19.44	2,297.84	1,232.19	764.73
#	Days	11	365	366	365	366	122	365	153	365	365	366	365	131	365	365	365	365	366	366	366	366	133	366	237	366	122	365	92	365	365	366
Year		2000	2001	2000	1999	2000	2000	1999	2000	2001	2001	2000	2002	2001	2002	2001	2001	2002	2000	2000	2000	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	2001	2001	2000	2001	2001	2000
Assessed Property Owner		MANOIR HOTEL LIMITED / Ronald Lalonde	MAINVILLE LUMBER COMPANY LIMITED	MAINVILLE LUMBER COMPANY LIMITED	MAINVILLE LUMBER COMPANY LIMITED	K P I PROCESS INSTRUMENT LTD	KALTIAINEN, Daniel Clovis	NAZARUK, Antonina	900362 ONTARIO INC.	1447330 ONTARIO LIMITED	PANDOLFO, Guido & Arcangela	996401 ONTARIO INC.	FREDERICK, Patricia Ruth	FREDERICK, Patricia Ruth	KALLIO, Jacob Verner & KALLIO, Lea Orvokki	KALLIO, Jacob Verner & KALLIO, Lea Orvokki	ANTONIONI, Janet & Kewadin & Wahenese	ANTONIONI, Janet & Kewadin & Wahenese	SAMSON, Gerard & Carmen	GERVAIS, Gilles Andre & Pauline Chevelle	BEAUDRY, Richard & Lise	BEST, Alexander John & Marsha Ann	BEST, Alexander John & Marsha Ann	BEST, Alexander John	BEST, Alexander John	MORIN, Roger In Trust (R & R Used Truck & Equipment Parts Inc.)	RANGER, Aurore Manda & Philippe Germain	ST GERMAIN, Maurice	ST GERMAIN, Maurice	POIRIER, Paul Lucien & Joan Margaret	487050 ONTARIO INC.	LACHANCE, Henry George & Edith Susana
Location		848 LaSalle Blvd.	304 Leroux Street	304 Leroux Street	304 Leroux Street	959 Elisabella Street	301 Oak Street	118 Regent Street	679 Lorne Street	1377 Kelly Lake Road	37 Copper Street	322 McNaughton Terrace	797 Connaught Avenue	797 Connaught Avenue	1829 Paris Street	1829 Paris Street	450 St. Albert Street	450 St. Albert Street	2312 Morgan Road	2390 Maple Street	327 Charlebois Street	30 Notre Dame Ave	30 Notre Dame Ave	339 Marier Street	339 Marier Street	2472 Evans Road	2115 Kenneth Drive	5262 Highway 69 N	5262 Highway 69 N	7 Dennie Street	67 Church Street	1734 Bancroft Drive
Roll#		030.028.09600.0000.0	160.003.00300.0000.0	160.003.00300.0000.0	160.003.00300.0000.0	020.015.14700.0000.0	040.003.00300.0000.0	040.003.04300.0000.0	050.012.02000.0000.0	050.027.08100.0000.0	050.028.09500.0000.0	060.011.08500.0000.0	060.019.04700.0000.0	060.019.04700.0000.0	060.028.06100.0000.0	060.028.06100.0000.0	160.002.10100.0000.0	160.002.10100.0000.0	160.014.07507.0000.0	160.015.06800.0000.0	160.015.13700.0000.0	160.022.00800.0000.0	160.022.00800.0000.0	160.022.13701.0000.0	160.022.13701.0000.0	170.005.10003.0000.0	170.017.36801.0000.0	170.020.03600.0000.0	170.020.03600.0000.0	190.004.00300.0000.0	210.008.01000.0000.0	010.012.04200.0000.0
/tem	#	-	2	က	4	2	9	7	∞	6	9	1	12	13	14	15	16	17	8	19	20	2	22	23	24	25	36	27	28	59	8	31

		REC	Schedule 'B' RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS	CIL FOR TA	X ADJUSTM	ENTS	
			UNDER SECTION 442 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT R.S.O. 1990 REASON: CHANGE IN TAX CLASS / RATE	AL ACT R.S	.O. 1990		
							Council Meeting of: October 24, 2002
Item	Roll#	Location	Assessed Property Owner	Year #	Amount	Total	Comments
#							
32	010.012.04200.0000.0	1734 Bancroff Drive	LACHANCE, Henry George & Edith Susana	2001 365	5 768.94	768.94	
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							
14							
45							
43							
44							
45							
46			TOTAL:	 - 	52,177.09	9 52,177.09	