(000)

ı		SH	MMARY					
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
F	GENERAL REVENUES	(183,795)	(138,909)	76	(132,982)	72	(185,333)	1,53
	CORPORATE SERVICES	16,782	11,019	66	11,624	69	17,821	(1,03
l	ECONOMIC DEV & PLANNING SERVICES	20,200	15,191	75	11,966	59	19,954	24
l	HEALTH & SOCIAL SERVICES	26,740	20,140	75	17,030	64	25,376	1,36
ı	CITIZEN & LEISURE SERVICES	22,621	17,187	76	16,759	74	22,521	10
ı	PUBLIC WORKS	46,868	37,592	80	41,824	89	49,470	(2,60
l	EMERGENCY SERVICES	17,519	12,720	73	10,147	58	17,152	36
	OUTSIDE BOARDS	33,065	24,734	75	24,708	75	33,388	(32
H	EXPENDITURES	183,795	138,583	75	134,058	73	185,682	(1,88

NOTE: Per Priorities Committee Recommendation 2002-18, as adopted by Council, any Corporate deficit is to be offset by the \$900,000 reallocated to the Roads Program as a result of the realization of the Northern Ontario Heritage Funding to be received.

EXECUTIVE, AD	MINISTRA	TIVE & C	ORPO	RATE S	ERVIC	ES	
	Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE	1,016	741	73	684	67	1,013	3
OFFICE OF THE CAO	1,247	915	59	909	73	1,230	17
CORPORATE SERVICES	14,519	9,363	5	10,031	69	15,578	(1,059)
EXECUTIVE, ADMIN & CORPORATE SERVICES	16,782	11,019	66	11,624	69	17,821	(1,039)

	ECONOMIC DE	VELOPM	ENT & P	LANN	NG SER	VICES	3	
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	444	388	87	372	84	444	-
	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	1,588	1,375	87	1,515	95	1,595	(7
	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES	2,165	1,520	70	1,106	51	2,128	37
3)	SOCIAL HOUSING	16,003	11,908	74	8,973	56	15,787	216
	ECONOMIC DEVEL & PLANNING SERVICES	20,200	15,191	75	11,966	59	19,954	246

HE	EALTH AND	SOCIAL	SERV	ICES			
	Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	1,106	993	90	847	77	1,071	35
CHILDREN SERVICES	2,940	1,391	47	2,889	98	2,878	62
LONG TERM CARE & SENIORS	382	804	211	578	151	379	3
ONTARIO WORKS	22,312	16,952	76	12,716	57	21,048	1,264
HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES	26,740	20,140	75	17,030	64	25,376	1,364

		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	1,181	1,143	97	1,105	94	1,164	17
	CEMETERY SERVICES	24	(35)	(146)	(160)	(667)	24	-
5)	PUBLIC LIBRARIES/CITIZENS SERVICES	5,405	3,953	73	4,261	79	5,197	208
5)	LEISURE & RECREATION SERVICES	9,218	7,363	80	7,670	83	9,412	(194)
	TRANSPORTATION SERVICES	6,793	4,763	70	3,883	57	6,724	69
	CITIZEN & LEISURE SERVICES	22,621	17,187	76	16,759	74	22,521	100

	PUBL	IC WOR	KS				
	Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
EARTHCARE SUDBURY	202	137	68	53	26	202	-
ENGINEERING SERVICES	140	91	65	130	93	131	9
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES	4,100	3,226	79	3,115	76	4,026	74
WATER MAINTENANCE	2,374	1,078	45	2,692	113	2,374	-
WASTE WATER MAINTENANCE	-	1,452		2,219			-
ROADS MAINTENANCE	29,343	24,111	82	27,476	94	32,854	(3,511)
FLEET	445	573	129	(769)	(173)	(397)	842
WASTE MANAGEMENT	10,264	6,924	68	6,908	67	10,280	(16)
PUBLIC WORKS	46,868	37,592	80	41,824	89	49,470	(2,602)

		EMERGEN	ICY SER	VICES	3			
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	286	207	72	253	89	286	-
	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	31	21	68	8	26	31	-
(9)	FIRE SERVICES	11,942	8,760	73	7,180	60	11,575	367
(10)	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES	5,260	3,732	71	2,706	51	5,260	-
ŀ	EMERGENCY SERVICES	17,519	12,720	73	10,147	58	17,152	367

		OUTSIE	DE BOAI	RDS				
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	SUDBURY AIRPORT OPERATIONS	-	(220)		-		-	-
	N.D.C.A.	225	169	75	169	75	225	-
	PUBLIC HEALTH (HEALTH UNIT)	5,537	4,311	78	4,152	75	5,537	-
(11)	POLICE SERVICES	27,303	20,474	75	20,387	75	27,626	(323)
	OUTSIDE BOARDS	33,065	24,734	75	24,708	75	33,388	(323)

### **Request for Decision City Council**



					Туре	of	Decision					
Meeting Date	Decembe	r 12, 2	2002				Report Date	De	cember	5, 20	002	
Decision Requested			Yes	x	No		Priority	х	High		Low	
		Dire	ction Onl	у			Type of		Open		Closed	

No	Priority	х	High	Low
	Type of		Open	Closed

### **Report Title**

October 2002 Variance Report

Policy Implication + Budget Impact	Recommendation
This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified.	
N/A	N/A
X Background Attached	Recommendation Continued

M. Mieto

Recommended by the General Manager General Manager Corporate Services / Acting General Manager of Emergency Services

Recommended by the C.A.O. Chief Administrative Office

October 2002 Variance Report

Report Prepared By

Reviewed by: Date:

C. Mahaffy, Manager of Financial Planning & Policy

December 5, 2002

**Division Review** 

Page 2

E. Stankiewicz

Co-Ordinator of Current **S**udget

S. Jonasson

Director of Finance / City Treasurer

The October Variance Report reflects the last projection being made prior to year-end. Based on ten months of expenditures and revenues, potential year-end variances have been identified with accompanying explanations.

This forecast reflects a slight deficit, however, a portion of the \$900,000 of the Northern Ontario Heritage Fund funding will be used to offset some of the roads over expenditure thus resulting in a balanced budget.

Potential year-end variances greater than \$100,000 have been identified and are detailed in the following:

### 1. General Revenues

As previously mentioned, payment in lieu of taxation revenue will exceed budget by approximately \$320,000. In addition, higher than expected interest rates and favourable cash flow will result in investment revenue exceeding budget by approximately \$670,000. Revenue from interest on tax arrears and slot revenue are expected to be significantly higher than budgeted by approximately \$700,000.

However, this area also includes provincial revenue in the form of the Community Reinvestment Fund (CRF) as a result of the Local Services Realignment (LSR) exercise. Underexpenditures in sole support caseloads in the Ontario Works Division as well as the Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP) will affect the CRF. It appears that these two LSR programs will continue to be tied to the CRF. These two items will reflect reduced net expenditures of approximately \$660,000, therefore reducing the CRF accordingly. Another program that may be tied to the CRF is Land Ambulance, however this area is expected to be on budget by year-end. With regards to social housing which was previously tied to (CRF), the Province has indicated that they will not reconcile Social Housing expense. Full details regarding the CRF has been released by the Province and Finance is currently analyzing the implications it has for 2001, 2002 and 2003.

When all variances are considered the year-end surplus in the general revenue section is approximately \$1.5 million.

### 2. Corporate Services

Legal fees for Human Resources as a result of negotiations and arbitration will exceed budget by approximately \$150,000 and the cost of benefits paid out on behalf of pensioners is expected to be over budget by approximately \$250,000. Other expenditures in this division have been curtailed to offset the overexpenditures, leaving the division in a deficit position of approximately \$330,000.

Some minor revisions have been made to the Solicitor division. Outside legal counsel in the Solicitor Division is anticipated to be over budget by approximately \$400,000. In addition, losses in licencing revenue of approximately \$130,000 are expected. Also, Provincial Offences net revenue is expected to be short by approximately \$360,000, leaving this division in a combined deficit position of approximately \$850,000.

160

October 2002 Variance Report

Reviewed by:

C. Mahaffy, Manager of Financial Planning & Policy

Date:

December 5, 2002

The Information Technology division should reflect a year end surplus of approximately \$200,000. As per policy this surplus will be contributed to the reserve only if the Corporation is not in a deficit position at year-end.

With all projections taken into account, this department should be in a deficit position of approximately \$1 million by year-end.

### 3. Social Housing

As previously mentioned, due to delays in staffing the administration section and reduced spending in administrative functions, this section should realize a surplus of approximately \$220,000 by year end.

### 4. Pioneer Manor

Marginal revisions to salary and fringe benefit projections will result in a year end surplus of approximately \$170,000.

### 5. Ontario Works

Sole support caseloads continue to be below estimates, however, this item is tied to the CRF so any savings in this area will be offset by reductions in Community Reinvestment Fund. In addition, ODSP costs will be less than budgeted and will be treated in the same fashion as sole support. These two items account for \$660,000 in reduced net expenditures and this will result in a corresponding reduction in CRF.

In addition, there will be a surplus of approximately \$600,000 as a result of Ontario Works Employment Assistance Services Levels funding exceeding targets, and additional funding for the Consolidated Verification Process.

### 6. Public Libraries/Citizen Services

Previously it was reported that this division would reflect a year-end surplus of approximately \$200,000. However adjustments to some expenditure accounts will put this division close to budget by year end.

### 7. Leisure Services

As previously mentioned this division would be in a deficit position of approximately \$200,000 for the following reasons:

- failure of mechanical equipment/aging recreational facilities,
- loss of Summer Career Grant,
- flood damage at Centennial Park.
- the operation of the Minnow Lake Community Centre,
- higher energy costs,
- loss of revenue as a result of the inability to remarket ice time.

In addition, the higher than anticipated pay out of banked overtime at the arenas will result in a further \$100,000 over expenditure resulting in a projected deficit of \$300,000 for the division by year-end.

Page 3

October 2002 Variance Report

Reviewed by:

C. Mahaffy, Manager of Financial Planning & Policy

Date:

December 5, 2002

### 8. Transportation Services

Slightly lower from anticipated costs for crossing guards and transportation for the disabled will result in this division reflecting a surplus of approximately \$120,000 by year-end.

### 9. Water & Waste Water Services

As a result of a wet early summer, water consumption is down resulting in water and waste water revenues being below budgeted values. However, reduced operating costs in the preventive areas have offset the reduction in revenues. As per policy, any variance will be contributed to / drawn from the respective reserve funds, resulting in a balanced budget.

### 10. Roads/Fleet

As previously reported, extraordinary winter conditions and severe summer storms affected the winter control budget as well as the summer roads program. The last report identified a projected \$2.7 deficit for this area when fleet revenue was netted against the road expenditures.

Further revisions to projections will result in a marginal increase to the anticipated year-end position. This area is expected to reflect a year-end deficit of approximately \$2.8 million.

In accordance with Priorities Committee Recommendation 2002-18, as adopted by Council, the \$900,000 reallocated to the Roads program from the realization of the Northern Ontario Heritage Fund (NOHF) funding is to be used to offset any further over expenditures in winter roads maintenance and to offset any corporate deficit, with the balance being contributed to a Winter Roads Maintenance Reserve Fund. This funding has not been reflected in the corporate position.

### 11. Waste Management

In the last report it was mentioned that this area should be close to budget by year-end. Minor modifications to both expenditure and revenue projections will result in potential year-end surplus of approximately \$100,000.

### 12. Fire Services

It was previously reported that as a result of staff vacancies throughout the year and fewer fire call outs requiring volunteers, the Fire Service would realize a year-end surplus of approximately \$360,000. Minor revisions to this division will result in a revised projected year-end deficit of approximately \$440,000.

### 13. Land Ambulance

The issue of cross border service has still not been dealt with by the Province. A potential increase in cross border service would be offset by the \$650,000 in salary savings as a result of vacancies throughout the year. This service is tied to the CRF, and therefore there should be no negative impact on funding.

Page 4

October 2002 Variance Report

Reviewed by:

C. Mahaffy, Manager of Financial Planning & Policy

Date:

December 5, 2002

Page 5

### 14. Police Services

As previously reported, over expenditures in salaries primarily in the area of overtime, increased cost of benefits paid on behalf of pensioners and the loss of revenue under the Firearm's Act will have this service experiencing a year end deficit. Additional revenue, operational savings in other areas and the OMERS contribution holiday offset this deficit somewhat. By year end, a \$320,000 deficit is anticipated.

### Summary

Although the forecast currently reflects a deficit of approximately \$440,000, the use of a portion of the \$900,000 reallocated to the Roads program from the realization of the NOHF funding will result in a balanced budget. Accounts will continue to be monitored closely for the remainder of the year.

(000)

	SU	MMARY					
	Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
GENERAL REVENUES	(183,795)	(149,157)	81	(174,910)	95	(185,292)	1,497
CORPORATE SERVICES	16,782	12,914	77	13,074	78	17,742	(960
ECONOMIC DEV & PLANNING SERVICES	20,200	17,063	85	14,144	70	19,910	290
HEALTH & SOCIAL SERVICES	26,740	22,012	82	17,210	64	25,229	1,511
CITIZEN & LEISURE SERVICES	22,621	18,845	83	18,781	83	22,744	(123
PUBLIC WORKS	46,868	39,138	84	42,881	92	49,643	(2,775
EMERGENCY SERVICES	17,519	14,256	81	11,448	65	17,076	443
OUTSIDE BOARDS	33,065	27,458	83	27,670	84	33,388	(323
EXPENDITURES	183,795	151,686	83	145,208	79	185,732	(1,937

NOTE: Per Priorities Committee Recommendation 2002-18, as adopted by Council, any Corporate deficit is to be offset by the \$900,000 reallocated to the Roads Program as a result of the realization of the Northern Ontario Heritage Funding to be received.

	EXECUTIVE, ADM	INISTRA	TIVE & C	ORPO	RATE S	ERVIC	CES	
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE	1,016	829	82	757	75	1,013	3
	OFFICE OF THE CAO	1,247	1,024	67	998	80	1,230	17
(2)	CORPORATE SERVICES	14,519	11,061	6	11,319	78	15,499	(980
	EXECUTIVE, ADMIN & CORPORATE SERVICES	16,782	12,914	77	13,074	78	17,742	(960

	ECONOMIC DE	VELOPM	ENT & P	LANNI	NG SER	VICES	3	
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	444	429	97	410	92	444	-
	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	1,588	1,497	94	1,702	107	1,577	11
	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES	2,165	1,897	88	1,268	59	2,102	63
(3)	SOCIAL HOUSING	16,003	13,240	83	10,764	67	15,787	216
	ECONOMIC DEVEL & PLANNING SERVICES	20,200	17,063	85	14,144	70	19,910	290

	H	EALTH AND	SUCIAL	SERV	ICES			
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	1,106	1,038	94	925	84	1,072	34
	CHILDREN SERVICES	2,940	1,670	57	2,300	78	2,906	34
)	LONG TERM CARE & SENIORS	382	585	153	1,649	432	212	170
)	ONTARIO WORKS	22,312	18,719	84	12,336	55	21,039	1,273
	HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES	26,740	22,012	82	17,210	64	25,229	1,511

		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	1,181	1,157	98	1,129	96	1,164	17
	CEMETERY SERVICES	24	(13)	(54)	(176)	(733)	24	-
s)	PUBLIC LIBRARIES/CITIZENS SERVICES	5,405	4,434	82	4,363	81	5,375	30
)	LEISURE & RECREATION SERVICES	9,218	7,968	86	8,472	92	9,507	(289
)	TRANSPORTATION SERVICES	6,793	5,299	78	4,993	74	6,674	119
	CITIZEN & LEISURE SERVICES	22,621	18,845	83	18,781	83	22,744	(123

		PUBL	IC WORI	KS				
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	EARTHCARE SUDBURY	202	154	76	67	33	202	-
	ENGINEERING SERVICES	140	102	73	129	92	131	9
	BUILDINGS & FACILITIES	4,100	3,386	83	3,237	79	4,153	(53)
9)	WATER MAINTENANCE	2,374	1,077	45	1,821	77	2,374	-
9)	WASTE WATER MAINTENANCE	-	815		1,267		-	-
10)	ROADS MAINTENANCE	29,343	25,291	86	29,114	99	33,001	(3,658)
10)	FLEET	445	627	141	(38)	(9)	(378)	823
11)	WASTE MANAGEMENT	10,264	7,686	75	7,284	71	10,160	104
	PUBLIC WORKS	46,868	39,138	84	42,881	92	49,643	(2,775)

		EMERGEN	ICY SER	VICES				
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	GENERAL MANAGER'S OFFICE	286	232	81	272	95	286	-
	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	31	23	74	22	71	31	-
(12)	FIRE SERVICES	11,942	9,801	82	8,093	68	11,499	443
(13)	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES	5,260	4,200	80	3,061	58	5,260	-
ŀ	EMERGENCY SERVICES	17,519	14,256	81	11,448	65	17,076	443

ſ		OUTSIE	E BOA	RDS				
		Annual Budget	Y-T-D Budget	%	Y-T-D Actual	%	Year-end Projection	Year-end Surplus (Deficit)
	SUDBURY AIRPORT OPERATIONS	-	(220)		-		-	-
	N.D.C.A.	225	188	84	238	106	225	-
ŀ	PUBLIC HEALTH (HEALTH UNIT)	5,537	4,755	86	4,613	83	5,537	-
4)	POLICE SERVICES	27,303	22,735	83	22,819	84	27,626	(323)
	OUTSIDE BOARDS	33,065	27,458	83	27,670	84	33,388	(323)

### Request for Decision City Council



					Type	of	Decision						
Meeting Date December 12, 2002							Report Date	November 18, 2002			2		
Decision Requested Yes X No							Priority	х	High		Low	]	
Direction Only							Type of	х	Open		Closed		

			-	
Кe	pol	rt	П	:le

**Development Charges** 

# Policy Implication + Budget Impact This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified. N/A N/A N/A Background Attached Recommendation Recommendation

Recommended by the General Manager

General Manager of Corporate Services /
Acting General Manager of Emergency Services

Recommended by the C.A.O.

M. Mieto
Chief Administrative Officer

Date: November 18, 2002

### Report Authored By

Mahaffy

Manager of Financial Planning & Policy/Deputy Treasurer

### **Division Review**

Slopenen

S. Jonassor

Director of Finance/ City Treasurer

At a recent Council meeting, information was requested on Development Charges, and the following is provided for Council's information:

- The present Development Charges by-law came forward from the former Region, became effective September 1, 1999, and will expire on August 31, 2004. After that point in time, no Development Charges will apply without a new study and by-law.
- Development Charges apply only within the former Regional boundaries, and related only to services provided by the former Region.
- Development Charges for 2002 for a single family detached dwelling on a fully serviced lot are \$2,708. Lesser Development Charges apply if there are no water and / or wastewater services. Each January annual increases are applied, based on the Statistics Canada Quarterly Construction Prices Index of September.
- No Development Charges apply to Commercial or Industrial development.
- No Development Charges apply to Residential development in designated Town Centres.
- Development Charges proceeds are credited to specific Capital Financing Reserve Funds to be used in future capital projects as follows: Wastewater-29%, Water-43%, Roads-24% and Policing-3%.
- → A reserve has been established to finance a new Development Charges study.

In addition, a review of the Municipal Competitiveness Study which was sent to Council early in 2002 indicates that of the forty plus municipalities surveyed, Sudbury's Development Charges for single family residential dwellings were second lowest in the Province, that only one other municipality exempted commercial development and only five other municipalities exempted industrial development.

The City of Greater Sudbury has collected just over \$1 million in Development Charges as follows:

2001 - \$405,725 2002 (to date)- \$629.358

In the years 1991 to 2000, the former Region collected just under \$9 million in Development Charges. During some of these years, many of the former Area Municipalities also had Development Charges in effect.

The current Development Charges by-law is built upon the original Development Charges study undertaken in 1991. The actual Development Charges revenue fell far short of that projected in the original study, yet the identified projects were undertaken (i.e. expansion of wastewater treatment plants and roads projects such as the Brady Street extension). The Development Charges collected today are credited back to the reserve funds which were used to finance these projects. The funds then become available to finance other capital works.

Given the negative or no growth predictions of the Long Term Financial Plan, and the fact that capital spending will, for the most part, be directed to maintenance of existing infrastructure, it may be that there will be no justification for further Development Charges after the expiration of the present by-law. It is anticipated that the issue of the appropriateness of Development Charges will be looked at as part of the new Official Plan study.	Report: Development Charges Date: November 18, 2002	Page 3
spending will, for the most part, be directed to maintenance of existing infrastructure, it may be that there will be no justification for further Development Charges after the expiration of the present by-law.  It is anticipated that the issue of the appropriateness of Development Charges will be looked at as part of		
It is anticipated that the issue of the appropriateness of Development Charges will be looked at as part of the new Official Plan study.	spending will, for the most part, be directed to maintenance of existing infrastructure, it may be	that there
	It is anticipated that the issue of the appropriateness of Development Charges will be looked at the new Official Plan study.	as part of

### Request for Decision City Council



				Type	of Decision					
Meeting Date	Decembe	Report Date	November 14, 2002			2				
Decision Requ	ested	х	Yes	No	Priority	х	High		Low	
		Diı	rection Only		Type of	x	Open		Closed	

### **Report Title**

Provincial Distribution of Federal Public Housing Capital Funds

	Policy Implication + Budget Impact	Recommendation
X	This report and recommendation(s) have been reviewed by the Finance Division and the funding source has been identified.	That Council endorse the efforts by Housing Services and the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation to pursue the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to obtain additional federal funding related to the former public housing portfolio.
Х	Background Attached	Recommendation Continued

**Recommended by the General Manager** 

D. Nadorozny, General Manager Economic Development and Planning Services Recommended by the C.A.O.

M. Mieto
Chief Administrative Officer

Title: Provincial Distribution of Federal Public Housing Capital Funds

Date: November 14, 2002

Report Prepared By

D.R. Desmeules Manager, Housing Services **Division Review** 

N. E. Lauther

W.E. Lautenbach Director of Planning Services

Prior to the download of social housing from the Province to the municipality, the Province and the Federal governments cost shared the housing programs. At the point of program transfer, the City took over the Province's share of the social housing cost. The Province, through an agreement with the Federal government, agreed to redistribute the Federal funding associated with the programs. The Province based the initial municipal allocation of federal funding on the previous program cost sharing rules. Currently, the City receives federal funding via the Province. This money is used to reduce the City's social housing subsidies it provides to the local housing providers.

Some of the federal funding allocated to the City relates to the former Public Housing portfolio formerly owned by the Ontario Housing Corporation. This portfolio is now owned and operated by the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation (GSHC). The City reduces the amount of subsidy it provides to the GSHC by a predetermined amount of federal funding.

In November 2001, the Province released a new report related to the former Public Housing portfolio. The report was to take into account portfolio size, age of the stock, type of units, historical spending and anticipated needs. At that time, the Province advised that the study and its financial impact would cover a five year period and would be imposed retroactively to the beginning of 2001. Prior to the release of the study, the Province had indicated to the City that it would receive \$3.2 million in Federal funding related to the former Public Housing portfolio. The study indicated that this amount was too high. In December 2001, the Province reduced the City's Federal funding by \$500,000 to reflect the report findings. Given the timing of the change, the City could not reduce the subsidy level to the GSHC. In 2001, the City flowed \$7.7 million in subsidies to the GSHC. As a result of the study, only \$2.6 million or about 34% of the subsidies came from Federal funding. The City absorbed the additional cost.

The new Provincial study indicates that the GSHC should be spending approximately \$2,310,000 annually towards capital expenditures. The GSHC 2002 budget reflected the \$2.3 million figure. The GSHC feels that based on the parameters set within the study, it should be spending \$2,587,200 annually, or \$277,200 more. The GSHC is concerned that the spending shortfall will negatively impact their portfolio. They are also concerned that the City will not recognize their suggested capital expenditure level since the City will not receive the corresponding Federal dollars to cover the increase.

The GSHC report on this issue is attached for your perusal.

Should the Province review its study and determine that the level requested by the GSHC is appropriate, the Province would not increase the annual Federal funding by the full amount. The Province would at best, only increase the Federal funding by 50% of the shortfall or \$138,600. The City would have to make up the difference. This is the maximum since prior to devolution, the Federal funding only covered about half of the public housing cost. Ultimately, the amount of capital spent annually by the GSHC is dependent on their approved budget. This budget is dependent on the amount of subsidy approved by the City.

Title: Provincial Distribution of Federal Public Housing Capital Funds

Date: November 14, 2002

Housing Services staff have been in contact with the Province regarding this issue since the report was released. Housing Services has also assisted other northern service managers in petitioning the Province for extra funding. Despite lobbying efforts by staff and councils of these northern municipalities, the Province has only agreed to look at the issue. They have repeatedly stated that they are not willing to make any funding changes until after the first five year period is complete ie. 2005. The Province will be re-examining the capital distribution and commissioning a new study near the end of 2003. They have indicated that all service managers will have the opportunity to comment on the study findings prior to the implementation of the new funding levels.

To make up for the City's loss of Federal funding, Housing Services has been negotiating with the Province to increase the City's level of Provincial CRF funding related to social housing. The Province is considering this option. We will know if this has been accepted once the final CRF figures have been issued by the Ministry of Finance.

November 4, 2002

Mr. Denis Desmeules Manager, Housing Services City of Greater Sudbury P.O. Box 5000, Stn. A. 199 Larch Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Sudbury, ON P3A 5P3

RECEIVED

NOV 0 6 2002

HOUSING SERVICES

Dear Mr. Desmeules:

RE: GSHC 2001/05 Capital Works Funding Petition

Please find attached (Appendix A) the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation's resolution in support of the Management's Capital Reallocation Petition (Appendix B) and recommendation for referral to the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury. The G.S.H.C. Board wishes to jointly petition in conjunction with the City of Greater Sudbury the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing with respect to raising the GSHC's Capital Allocation from its current level of \$1,250/unit/year to a level more comparable to other local Housing Corporations with similar portfolio composition (\$1,400/unit/year). The report details the need to try to recover lost federal dollars (formerly 48.52 per capital dollar spent) that are required to address the GSHC's 5-Year Capital Plan's shortfall, some \$918,000.00.

I wish to acknowledge your help on this report and your discussions with the Ministry, attempting to open talks on the matter, albeit fruitless to date.

Please forward the attached documents to the City of Greater Sudbury Clerk's office for inclusion and consideration in an upcoming Council meeting.

Thanking you in advance, I remain

Sincerely

J.R. Sutherland General Manager

### GREATER SUDBURY HOUSING CORPORATION MEMBERS' MOTION

Date:	May 28, 2002
Board:	Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation Board
Agenda Item:	(VI – 2) Capital Works 2001/02 Budget Clawback Petition
Moved by:	Mr. R. Bradley
Seconded by:	Ms. R. Clifford
BE IT RESOLVE	O THAT
Housing Corporespect to favo Subsidy Funding Per Ur	er Sudbury Housing Corporation respectfully request f Greater Sudbury Council, in conjunction with the ration, jointly petition the Provincial Government with urably amending the imposed Capital Works 2001/02 ng Clawback and re-establish the 5-year Capital nit Per Ranking of the Corporation to a higher level ate to the age, type, and client composition of its io.
CARRIED:	
A. Fex Chair	_
Certified true copy	y of GSHC Resolution:

R. Sutherland, Secretary

### REPORT TO COUNCIL CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY

FROM:

**Robert Sutherland** 

DATE:

July 5, 2002

**General Manager** 

**Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation** 

SUBJECT:

2001/05 Capital Works Funding Allocation Petition

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Where as the consulting firm of KPMG prepared a report, entitled "Ontario Housing Corporation: Assessment of Capital Funding Models Within the Context of Social Housing Devolution", for the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing;

And whereas this report was the basis on which the Ministry of Municipal Affairs has distributed \$500 million in capital funding (including a federal allocation) at \$100 million per year for the 2001/05 period to all the Local Housing Corporations via their respective Service Managers;

And whereas the report awards higher levels of capital funding to other Local Housing Corporations with lower comparative criteria than the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation;

Be It Resolved That The Council of The City of Greater Sudbury, in conjunction with the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation, jointly petition the Ontario Provincial Government with respect to favourably amending the imposed Capital Works 2001/05 Funding Band Allocation with the aim to re-establish the 5 year Capital Funding Per Unit Per Year Ranking of the Corporation to a level more comparable to those Local Housing Corporations that received higher funding despite having lower ranked client compositions, building age, and type than the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation.

### **Background: Distribution of Federal Public Housing Capital Funds**

In late November, 2001 the Province of Ontario advised all Service Managers about a re-distribution of Federal Public Housing capital funds. Each Service Manager including the City of Greater Sudbury was apportioned their share of Federal Capital dollars based on the current Province wide funding level of \$100,000,000.00 per year. In the past, out of the \$100 million per year, roughly \$52 million has been Federal funding while the remaining half has been subsidized by the Service Managers' tax base (ie. The City's taxpayers).

The amount of funding for each Service Manager was determined in a Provincially sponsored KMPG study, which recommended a range of funding levels from \$800/unit/year to \$1,581/unit/year. The study used such factors as project age, building type (high rise vs. low rise), and client type (family vs. senior), to fix the level of funding for each Service Manager. This level is set for a five-year period starting 2001.

The GSHC has issue with it's current ranking at \$1,250/unit/yr based on the Province's own study on which the realignment of Capital funding to the Service Managers was distributed. In this KPMG study, the Funding Allocation was based on the age of the buildings, type of building, and family/senior client mixture. The more older buildings, the more families, and the more high rises a Service Manager had, the higher the Funding Band. (See attached Appendix 1.) The GSHC ranked as high or higher in each of these Funding Band criteria than the majority of the eleven LHC's that received the \$1,400/unit/yr allocation, yet it was given a benchmark rating equivalent to mid range LHC's at \$1,250/unit/year. (See Appendix 2.) As can be seen from the chart, the GSHC tied for 2<sup>nd</sup> in % families, 4<sup>th</sup> in the average building age, and 5<sup>th</sup> in % high rises.

.../3

With the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation's funding pegged at \$1,250/unit/year, it translates into a Capital spending level of \$2,310,000 per year, starting in 2001 and ending in 2005. The GSHC's Capital Budget has averaged \$2,723,000 per year (\$1,473/unit/year) over the past 3 years and is projected to be \$2,421,000 per year (\$1,310/unit/year) over the current five years based on the GSHC's 2001 Five Year Capital Works Plan. The GSHC is therefore facing an \$183,600 per year reduction for the 2001 to 2005 period (Total Shortfall = \$918,000). To maintain the GSHC Capital level, the City will have to offset the removed Federal portion (approximately \$91,800/year) for five years for a total of \$459,000 in addition to 100% of any emergency Capital Expenditures in excess of provincially set \$2.31 million/year. Given the seriousness of the removal of \$918,000 from the planned capital improvements to it's housing stock over the next five years, the GSHC Board is seeking the City's help to petition the Province to restore funding close to pre-existing levels (eg. \$1,400/unit/year).

In addition, CGS Housing Services advises that the Province did not complete the capital reallocation based on the long-standing percentage distribution between the province and the federal government. That ratio dictated that the federal funding would cover 52% of capital expenditures while the province would supply 48% of the costs. The new redistribution has the federal portion falling below 50% with the municipality picking up the higher share. The net result is that not only is less capital funding being allocated but more of the cost has been downloaded to the City.

The redistribution has created other inequities. For example some Service Managers in southern Ontario have gained so much more federal funding beyond their requirements that they have elected to create a reserve with the additional funding. We feel this is being done at the expense of our community.

.../4

Therefore, to ensure sufficient funding to protect/maintain the infrastructure of GSHC's housing stock and to alleviate the tax burden of the removed Federal dollars from required Capital Expenditures over \$1,250/unit/year level on the citizens of the City of Greater Sudbury, it would be in the best interest of the Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation and the City to jointly petition the Province with the objective of having it's Capital/Unit/Year 5 Year Funding Allocation increased \$1,250/unit/year to the next benchmark level of \$1,400/unit/yr, as per the above noted resolution.

## Five Step Model (cont'd)

## Group the Service Managers into higher or lower cost funding band categories 4.

- Recognize the difficulty of identifying an "exact" funding level
- Provides the same funding level for Service Managers with similar funding needs (avoids having to rationalize small differences between similar portfollos)
- Provides a base minimum funding level of \$800/unit for Service Managers who may not have fully completed their capital plan funding request
- Funding bands are as follows:
- \$800/unit/year Service Managers with very low cost portfolios (e.g., high proportion of newer buildings, seniors, grade related buildings)
- \$950/unit/year Service Managers with somewhat low cost portfolios (e.g., fewer newer buildings, fewer seniors, some high rise buildings)
- \$1100/unit/year Service Managers with modest cost portfolios (e.g., more older buildings, more families, more high rise buildings)
- \$1250/unit/year Service Managers with higher cost portfolios (e.g., many older buildings, families and high rise buildings)
- \$1400/unit/year Service Managers with very high cost portfolios (e.g., mostly older buildings, mostly families, mostly high rise buildings).
- \$1581/unityear Toronto Due to the extreme concentration of highest cost units (high rises, families, oldest buildings) Toronto is exempted from banding process. A separate funding category is established for this Service Manager.

## Assume 2001 LHC budgets for 2001, and apply bands for 2002-2005 period က်

Handout from Information Session with Service Managers and Local Housing Corporations Data taken From: Distribution of Capital Funding for Public Housing 2001 - 2005

Nov. 2001 OHC Support Branch

# COMPARISON OF ALLOCATED CAPITAL FUNDING BANDS

SERVICE MANAGED	Dand Pallare	- 1 -	10010					
	Per Unit / Year	NOMBER OF UNITS	PERCENTAGE FAMILIES	RANKING	PERCENTAGE HIGH RISE	RANKING	AVERAGE Bldg age	RANKING
Toronto	\$1581	29,291	91%	-	%29	-	35	-
Greater Sudbury	\$1250	1,849	58%	2 <sup>nd</sup>	41%	5ª	30	4 th 4
Waterloo	\$1400	2,557	47%	3	33%	8	30	4
Nipissing	\$1400	275	35%	4	39%	9	27	7
Cochrane	\$1400	1,292	32%	2	21%	6	26	oc.
Parry Sound	\$1400	209	25%	9	43%	4	27	2
Norfolk	\$1400	391	25%	7	18%	9	34	. 2
Wellington	\$1400	1,189	25%	8	34%	7	33	m
Peel	\$1400	1,016	23%	တ	58%	က	28	9
Kawartha Lakes	\$1400	467	21%	10	43%	4	29	5
Dufferin	\$1400	225	4%	1-	%99	2	27	7

Data taken From: Distribution of Capital Funding for Public Housing 2001 – 2005 Handout from Information Session with Service Managers and Local Housing Corporations

Nov. 2001 OHC Support Branch

### Minutes

<b>City Council Minutes</b>	2002-11-28
<b>Priorities Committee Minutes</b>	2002-12-11
<b>Planning Committee Minutes</b>	2002-12-10
<b>Tender Opening Committee</b>	2002-11-27
<b>Tender Opening Committee</b>	2002-12-03
<b>Greater Sudbury Public Library Board</b>	2002-10-17
Sudbury & District Board of Health	2002-11-21
Children's Aid Society	2002-10-03
<b>Greater Sudbury Housing Corporation</b>	2002-10-29
<b>Sudbury Metro Centre</b>	2002-09-24
Nickel District Conservation Authority	2002-12-02

# THE FORTY-FIRST MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY

Committee Room C-11 Tom Davies Square

Thursday, November 28<sup>th</sup>, 2002 Commencement: 5:05 p.m.

#### **DEPUTY MAYOR DOUG CRAIG, IN THE CHAIR**

<u>Present</u> Councillors Bradley; Callaghan; Courtemanche; Davey; Dupuis;

Gainer; Kilgour; Lalonde; McIntaggart; Petryna (A5:07 pm);

Portelance (6:16 pm); Mayor Gordon (A5:12 pm)

City Officials M. Mieto, Chief Administrative Officer; D. Belisle, General Manager

of Public Works; C. Hallsworth, General Manager of Citizen & Leisure Services; D. Nadorozny, General Manager of Economic Development & Planning Services; D. Wuksinic, General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services; C. Ouellette, Director of Children Services; S. Jonasson, Director of Finance/City Treasurer; P. Thomson, Director of Human Resources; K. Matthies, Co-Ordinator of Human Resources;

T. Mowry, City Clerk; G. Ward, Council Secretary

Declarations of Pecuniary Interest

None declared.

"In Camera" 2002-673 Bradley/Kilgour: That we move "In Camera" to deal with

personnel matters in accordance with Article 15.5 of the City of Greater Sudbury Procedure By-law 2002-202 and the Municipal Act,

R.S.O. 1990, c.M.45, s.55(5).

CARRIED

Recess At 6:40 p.m., Council recessed.

Reconvene At 7:02 p.m., Council moved to the **Council Chamber** to continue

the regular meeting.

<u>Chair</u> <u>HIS WORSHIP MAYOR JAMES GORDON, IN THE CHAIR</u>

<u>Present</u> Councillors Bradley; Callaghan; Courtemanche; Craig; Davey;

Dupuis; Gainer; Kilgour; Lalonde; McIntaggart (A7:05 pm); Petryna;

Portelance

<u>City Officials</u> M. Mieto, Chief Administrative Officer; D. Belisle, General Manager

of Public Works; I. Davidson, Chief of Police, Greater Sudbury Police Service; C. Hallsworth, General Manager of Citizen & Leisure Services; D. Nadorozny, General Manager of Economic Development & Planning Services; D. Wuksinic, General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services; C. Ouellette, Director of Children Services; R. Swiddle, Director of Legal Services/City Solicitor; S. Jonasson, Director of

City Officials (Continued)

Finance/City Treasurer; P. Aitken, Government Relations/Policy Analyst; C. Riutta, Administrative Assistant to the Mayor; K. Bowschar-Lische, Law Clerk; T. Mowry, City Clerk; G. Ward,

Council Secretary

News Media

The Box; MCTV; CIGM; Sudbury Star; Northern Life

Declarations of Pecuniary Interest

None declared.

Four-Laning of Highway 69

Mayor Gordon addressed Council regarding the recent announcement by the Honourable Ernie Eves, Premier of Ontario, that the portion of Highway 69 between Sudbury and Parry Sound would be four-laned within ten years. Mayor Gordon expressed the gratitude of Council for the leadership and commitment shown by them in support of this project.

#### MATTERS ARISING FROM THE "IN CAMERA" SESSION

#### Rise and Report

Deputy Mayor Craig, as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, reported Council met to deal with personnel matters falling within Article 15.5 of the City of Greater Sudbury Procedural By-law 2002-202 and the Municipal Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M.45, s.55(5) and certain resolutions emanated therefrom.

Hiring of General Manager - Health & Social Services 2002-674 Bradley/Dupuis: THAT the following Councillors join the Chief Administrative Officer to form the Hiring Committee for the position of General Manager of Health & Social Services;

Councillor Portelance Councillor Petryna

AND THAT THE Director of Human Resources designate provide advisory and administrative support to the Committee;

AND FURTHER THAT the hiring for the position of General Manager of Health & Social Services conform to City of Greater Sudbury Hiring Policies, including Appendix "B" to the Hiring Policies, which contain provisions applying only to the General Manager and Chief Administrative Officer vacancies;

AND FURTHER THAT the Terms of Reference for the hiring of the position of General Manager of Health & Social Services contained herein, be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

# PART I CONSENT AGENDA

The following resolution was presented to adopt Items C-1 to C-8 inclusive, contained in Part I, Consent Agenda:

2002-675 Dupuis/Bradley: That Items C-1 to C-8 inclusive, contained in Part I, Consent Agenda, be adopted.

#### **MINUTES**

Item C-1 Report No. 40 C.C. 2002-676 Bradley/Dupuis: That Report No. 40, City Council Minutes of 2002-11-14 be adopted.

C.C.

2002-11-14

CARRIED

Item C-2 Report No. 8 Priorities Committee 2002-11-27 2002-677 Dupuis/Bradley: That Report No. 8, Priorities Committee Minutes of 2002-11-27 be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

Item C-3 Report No. 9 Planning Committee 2002-11-26 2002-678 Bradley/Dupuis: That Report No. 9, Planning Committee Minutes of 2002-11-26.

CARRIED

Item C-4 G.S.P.S.B. 2002-10-21 2002-679 Kilgour/Bradley: That the Report of the Greater Sudbury Police Services Board, Minutes of 2002-10-21 be received.

**CARRIED** 

Item C-5 Report No. 2 Licensing Committee 2002-11-19 2002-680 Bradley/Dupuis: That Report No. 2, Licensing Committee of the City of Greater Sudbury, Minutes of 2002-11-19 be adopted.

CARRIED

#### **ROUTINE MANAGEMENT REPORTS**

Item C-6 OGRA/ROMA and FCM Conferences Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding 2003 OGRA/ROMA Combined Conferences and 2003 FCM Conference was received.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-681 Kilgour/Bradley: THAT Members of Council for the City of Greater Sudbury be authorized to attend the following conferences:

2003 ROMA/OGRA COMBINED CONFERENCES to be held February 23-26, 2003 at the Fairmont Royal York Hotel, Toronto, Ontario; and

2003 FCM CONFERENCE to be held May 29-June 2, 2003 in Winnipeg, Manitoba.

**CARRIED** 

Item C-7 2002 Omitted and Supplementary Tax Billing Report dated 2002-11-13, with attachment, from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding 2002 Omitted and Supplementary Tax Billing was received.

C.C. (41<sup>ST</sup>) 2002-11-28

(3)

Item C-7 (Continued) The following resolution was presented:

2002-682 Bradley/Dupuis: That the 2002 Omitted and Supplementary Tax Billing be mailed in 2003 with installment due dates of January 27 and February 27, 2003 and further that By-law 2002-144F be rescinded.

CARRIED

#### **TELEPHONE POLLS**

Item C-8
Reimbursement of
Costs of Deregulation
& Effect on 2003
Budget

Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding Reimbursement of Costs of Deregulation and effect on 2003 Budget was received.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-683 Davey/Bradley: WHEREAS the Honourable Ernie Eves, Premier of Ontario and the Honourable John Baird, Minister of Energy have announced a series of measures designed to lower hydro bills for consumers and businesses across the Province;

AND WHEREAS these measures, if enacted by the Legislative Assembly of Ontario, would lower and cap the price consumers pay for power at 1994 levels at least until 2006; retroactively refund consumers for price increases that have occurred since the electricity market was opened to competition on May 1, 2002; and, freeze the rates Local Distribution Companies (LDCs), such as the Greater Sudbury Utilities Inc., charge customers for delivering power from producers to their customers, thereby arbitrarily reversing earlier decisions designed to create competition in the Provincial electricity market;

AND WHEREAS these measures will effectively put an end to the deregulation of Ontario's electricity market and encourage LDCs to operate on a nonprofit basis;

AND WHEREAS in response to the Premier's announcement, Standard and Poor's Rating Services have placed Ontario Power Generation and all rated LDCs on *CreditWatch*;

AND WHEREAS this *CreditWatch* will make it more difficult, and more expensive, for all LDCs to borrow at a time when investment is required for new distribution capacity and for refurbishing existing distribution capacity;

AND WHEREAS Standard and Poor's Rating Service in its *CreditWatch*, noted that: "Hydro One, the provincial government-owned transmission and distribution utility will be affected to a lesser extent [than LDCs] because although the company will be subject to

Item C-8 (Continued)

the proposed rate freeze, it will continue to operate as a for-profit entity."

AND WHEREAS by virtue of the <u>Energy Competition Act, 1998</u> local electrical utilities had no choice but to be incorporated either as commercial "for-profit" corporations under the <u>Business Corporations Act</u>;

AND WHEREAS it has been reported in the Toronto Star that the "Electricity Distributors Association, which represents local utilities, estimated that collectively the province's utilities face close to \$500 million in [market opening] expenses that aren't offset by revenues";

AND WHEREAS the restructuring costs of Greater Sudbury Utilities Inc., to prepare for market opening are estimated to be \$5 Million;

AND WHEREAS under the changes proposed by the Government of Ontario municipality owned utilities will be unable to recover their costs for market opening which could, as a result, push these utilities into a loss position at a time when they are under close scrutiny by credit rating agencies;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the City of Greater Sudbury hereby petitions the Premier and Government of Ontario:

- To clarify the rules, regulations and taxation rates for Local Distribution Companies in light of the Government's announcement and its effect on the financial stability of Local Distribution Companies and the communities in which they operate.
- 2. To provide adequate assurance that all municipally owned Local Distribution Companies will be able operate in a "level playing field" with respect to the Provincial owned Hydro One and to do so by force of legislation.
- To advise all Local Distribution Companies how they will be reimbursed for all their costs associated with the market opening which was mandated by the Government of Ontario and has now been rescinded;
- To ensure that Local Distribution Companies will be reimbursed for all costs relating to the forthcoming legislation freezing electrical rates in the Province of Ontario until 2006; and,
- 5. That the Provincial government recognize that the new regulations freezing local distribution rates result in a massive loss of value to Local Distribution Companies' assets and indicate what compensation will be provided to local taxpayers, who are the Shareholders, for this loss in value.

<u>Item</u>	<u>C-8</u>
(Con	tinued)

AND FURTHER THAT copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Honourable Ernie Eves, Premier of Ontario, the Honourable John Baird, Minister of Energy, all Local Members of the Legislative Assembly of Ontario, the Federation of Northern Ontario Municipalities, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the Electricity Distributors Association and Mr. Paul Marleau, Chair of the Board of Directors of Greater Sudbury Utilities Inc.

Change of Chair		At 8:12 p.m., Mayor Gordon vacated the Chair.
		DEPUTY MAYOR RON DUPUIS, IN THE CHAIR
2002-311A	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO CONFIRM THE PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL AT ITS MEETING OF NOVEMBER 28, 2002
2002-312T	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AMEND BY-LAW 2001-1, THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING BY-LAW ON ROADS IN THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY
		Report dated 2002-11-20 from the General Manager of Public Works
		(This By-law removes the existing "Yield" sign facing westbound traffic on Hazel Street and authorizes a "Stop" sign facing southbound traffic on Bruyere Street.)
2002-313T	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AMEND BY-LAW 2001-1, THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING BY-LAW ON ROADS IN THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY
		Report dated 2002-11-20 from the General Manager of Public Works
		(This By-law designates the Centre Lane of Old Highway 69 for left turns only from Beaver Avenue to Frost Avenue.)
2002-314T	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AMEND BY-LAW 2001-1, THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING BY-LAW
		Report dated 2002-11-20 from the General Manager of Public Works
		(This By-law requires traffic at the intersection of Tuscany Trail and Vintage Way be controlled with a stop sign for eastbound traffic on Vintage Way.)

2002-317F	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO LEVY AND COLLECT OMITTED AND SUPPLEMENTARY REALTY TAXES FOR THE YEAR 2002
		Report dated 2002-11-13 from the General Manager of Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services
2002-318A	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AUTHORIZE A TAX EXTENSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY AND KEN O'MALLEY
		Report dated 2002-11-15 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager of Emergency Services
2002-319A	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AUTHORIZE A TAX EXTENSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY AND GORDON BRADLEY
		Report dated 2002-11-15 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager of Emergency Services
2002-320A	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AUTHORIZE A TAX EXTENSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY AND 7495230 ONTARIO LTD. OPERATING AS SIERRA HOMES
		Report dated 2002-11-15 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager of Emergency Services
2002-321	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AUTHORIZE THE SALE OF LAND IN THE VALLEY EAST INDUSTRIAL PARK TO TRIPLE SEAL LTD.
		Planning Committee Meeting of November 26, 2002
2002-323A	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AUTHORIZE A TAX EXTENSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY AND ERIC PARSONS AND ANN MARIE PARSONS
		Report dated 2002-11-15 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager of Emergency Services
2002-324P	3	BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO ADOPT AMENDMENT NUMBER 215 OF THE OFFICIAL PLAN FOR THE SUDBURY PLANNING AREA
		Planning Committee Recommendation 2002-80
		(Helen and Leopold Quesnel - 1799 Kenneth Drive, Val Therese)

By-law 2002-32	<u>4P</u>
(Continued)	

(This amendment is a site specific Official Plan amendment to permit the creation of residential lots, being a minimum of one acre in size and having a minimum public road frontage of 197 feet, on the subject property which is located within the Agricultural Reserve of the Valley East Secondary Plan. To do so, this amendment exempts the subject property from the following requirements of Section 8.18 f. v) of the Official Plan;

- 1. Only one severance per each 25 acres of holding, where the subject property is 5.27 acres in size.
- 2. Only those who owned their property prior to March 14, 1978 qualify for severances, where the subject property was acquired in 1992.

2002-325Z

3

2

3

BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AMEND BY-LAW 83-303, THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BY-LAW FOR THE FORMER TOWN OF WALDEN

Planning Committee meeting of November 26, 2002

(This By-law rezones the subject property to "R1.D2.5", Single Residential to permit the construction of a single detached dwelling - Kelli Irene Gatien, Kantola Road, Lively)

2002-326A

BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO DECLARE SURPLUS, STOP-UP, CLOSE AND SELL PART OF DIXON ROAD, SOUTH OF RAMSEY LAKE ROAD, ABUTTING LOT 15, PLAN M-126

Planning Committee meeting of November 26, 2002

2002-327F

BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO AUTHORIZE THE ISSUE OF DEBENTURES IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$891,384.82 FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFINANCING OUTSTANDING DEBT OF THE FORMER TOWNS OF RAYSIDE-BALFOUR AND ONAPING FALLS

Report dated 2002-11-21 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services

1st & 2nd Reading

2002-684 Bradley/Kilgour: That By-law 2002-311A to and including By-law 2002-314T, By-law 2002-317F to and including By-law 2002-321, By-law 2002-323A to and including By-law 2002-327F be read a first and second time.

3<sup>rd</sup> Reading

2002-685 Kilgour/Bradley: That By-law 2002-311A to and including By-law 2002-314T, By-law 2002-317F to and including By-law 2002-321, By-law 2002-323A to and including By-law 2002-325Z and By-law 2002-327F be read a third time and passed.

**CARRIED** 

Change of Chair

At 8:15 p.m., Deputy Mayor Dupuis vacated the Chair

## HIS WORSHIP MAYOR JAMES GORDON, IN THE CHAIR

## CORRESPONDENCE FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Item C-9 C.I.P. and Neighbourhood Participation Projects Report dated 2002-11-20 from the General Manager of Citizen & Leisure Services regarding Community Improvement Projects and Neighbourhood Participation Projects was received for information.

Council concurred with a request by Councillor Courtemanche that a report be prepared outlining a plan to facilitate and support the establishment of at least one Community Action Network for each Ward.

# PART II REGULAR AGENDA

#### **MANAGERS' REPORTS**

Item R-1 Social Housing Reserve - Additional Contribution Report dated 2002-11-14 from the Acting General Manager of Economic Development & Planning Services regarding Social Housing Reserve - Additional Contribution was received.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-686 Dupuis/Bradley: That the additional funding received from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing for use towards major capital repairs for non-profit providers be placed in the Social Housing Reserve.

CARRIED

Item R-2 National Child Benefit Reinvestment Reserve Report dated 2002-11-05 from the Acting General Manager of Health & Social Services regarding National Child Benefit Reinvestment Reserve was received.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-687 Bradley/Kilgour: WHEREAS \$67,148 from the National Child Benefit (NCB) fund has been placed in reserve from 1999 and 2001 and should be spent in accordance with Provincial NCB guidelines;

Item R-2 (Continued) AND WHEREAS the National Child Benefit Emergency Trust Fund NCB Emergency Trust Fund) was approved by the Health and Social Services Committee on August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2000, as a method of dispersing unallocated/unspent National Child Benefit Reinvestment Funds and providing families in receipt of Ontario Works with extra support for basic necessities and unforseen expenses;

AND WHEREAS the Emergency Trust Fund has successfully organized yearly supplement programs since 2000 which have distributed funding directly to children and families in need which have had a positive impact on families and on the community;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Children Services Division take \$67,148 from the NCB reserve and add it to the \$65,000 contribution from the NCB reserve as approved in the 2002 current budget;

AND FURTHER THAT the City of Greater Sudbury use this combined fund of \$132,148 to provide a grant to the NCB Emergency Trust Fund, administered by the Social Planning Council of Greater Sudbury in accordance with the Trust Agreement, for distribution to families in receipt of Ontario Works as a "Winter Supplement for Families" according to a formula based on the number of children up to 18 years registered on the Ontario Works caseload as of December, 2002.

**CARRIED** 

Item R-3 Appointment - Deputy <u>Mayors</u> Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding **Appointment of Deputy Mayors** (3) - Term Ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003 was received.

Nominations for the position of **Deputy Mayor** were opened.

Councillor Dupuis nominated Councillor Portelance Councillor Davey nominated Councillor Petryna Councillor Kilgour nominated Councillor Kilgour Councillor Craig nominated Councillor Courtemanche Councillor Bradley nominated Councillor Gainer Councillor Petryna nominated Councillor Callaghan Councillor Dupuis nominated Councillor Davey

Councillors Gainer, Callaghan and Davey **withdrew** their names for the position of Deputy Mayor.

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, Councillors Portelance, Petryna and Courtemanche were appointed as Deputy Mayors.

Item R-3 (Continued)

The following resolution was presented:

2002-688 Dupuis/Bradley: That Councillors Portelance, Petryna and Courtemanche be appointed as Deputy Mayors for the term December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002 to and including November 30, 2003;

AND FURTHER THAT By-law 2002-315A to confirm the Deputy Mayors' appointments, be given third and final reading.

**CARRIED** 

#### **BY-LAWS**

2002-315A

3

BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO APPOINT DEPUTY MAYORS FOR THE YEAR 2003

1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading

2002-689 Bradley/Kilgour: That By-law 2002-315A be read a first and second time.

**CARRIED** 

3rd Reading

2002-690 Dupuis/Bradley: That By-law 2002-315A be read a third time and passed.

**CARRIED** 

Item R-4 Appointment -Planning Committee Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding **Appointment of Planning Committee** - Term Ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003 was received.

Nominations for appointment to the **Planning Committee** were opened.

Councillor Lalonde nominated Councillor Bradley
Councillor Portelance nominated Councillor Dupuis
Councillor Gainer nominated Councillor McIntaggart
Councillor Petryna nominated Councillor Callaghan
Councillor Bradley nominated Councillor Kilgour
Councillor Callaghan nominated Councillor Petryna
Councillor Kilgour nominated Councillor Craig
Councillor Callaghan nominated Councillor Portelance

Councillor Callaghan nominated Councillor Portelance

Councillors Callaghan, Kilgour, Lalonde and Craig withdrew their names for appointment to the Planning Committee.

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, Councillors Bradley, Dupuis, McIntaggart, Portelance and Petryna were appointed to the Planning Committee.

Item R-4 (Continued) Nominations for the position of **Chair**, **Planning Committee**, were opened.

Councillor Courtemanche nominated Councillor Dupuis Councillor Craig nominated Councillor Bradley

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, **Councillor Bradley** was appointed as **Chair, Planning Committee.** 

Nominations for the position of **Vice Chair, Planning Committee**, were opened.

Councillor Gainer nominated Councillor McIntaggart Councillor Kilgour nominated Councillor Dupuis

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, **Councillor Dupuis** was appointed as **Vice Chair, Planning Committee.** 

The following resolution was presented:

2002-691 Bradley/Dupuis: THAT the following five (5) Members of Council are hereby appointed to the Planning Committee for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003 or until their successors are appointed:

Councillor Bradley Councillor Dupuis Councillor McIntaggart Councillor Portelance Councillor Petryna

AND THAT **Councillor Bradley** be appointed as Chair of the Planning Committee to hold office for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003, or until his/her successor is appointed;

AND THAT **Councillor Dupuis** be appointed as Vice Chair of the Planning Committee to hold office for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003 or until his/her successor is appointed;

AND FURTHER THAT By-law 2002-316A to confirm the above appointments be given third and final reading.

CARRIED

#### **BY-LAWS**

2002-316A

3 BEING A BY-LAW OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY TO APPOINT A PLANNING COMMITTEE, ITS CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR FOR THE YEAR 2003

## 1st & 2nd Reading

2002-692 Kilgour/Bradley: That By-law 2002-316A be read a first and second time.

#### **CARRIED**

# 3<sup>rd</sup> Reading

2002-693 Bradley/Dupuis: That By-law 2002-316A be read a third time and passed.

#### **CARRIED**

Item R-5 Appointment - Chair & Vice-Chair, Priorities Committee Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding Appointment of Chair & Vice Chair, Priorities Committee - 2003 was received.

Nominations for the position of **Chair of the Priorities Committee** were opened.

Councillor Craig nominated Councillor Courtemanche Councillor Petryna nominated Councillor Portelance

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, **Councillor Courtemanche** was appointed as **Chair of the Priorities Committee**.

Nominations for the position of **Vice Chair, Priorities Committee** were opened.

Councillor Courtemanche nominated Councillor Kilgour Councillor Petryna nominated Councillor McIntaggart

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, **Councillor McIntaggart** was appointed as **Vice Chair**, **Priorities Committee**.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-694 Dupuis/Bradley THAT **Deputy Mayor Courtemanche** be appointed as Chair and **Councillor McIntaggart** be appointed Vice Chair of the Priorities Committee of Council for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

#### **CARRIED**

Item R-6 Appointment - Chair & Vice Chair, Community Viability Sub-Committee Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding Appointment of Chair and Vice Chair, Community Viability Sub-Committee - 2003 was received.

Nominations for the position of **Chair, Community Viability Sub-Committee** were opened.

Item R-6 (Continued) Councillor Gainer nominated Councillor Kilgour.

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Councillor Kilgour was appointed as Chair, Community Viability Sub-Committee by acclamation.

Nominations for the position of Vice Chair, Community Viability Sub-Committee were opened.

Councillor Courtemanche nominated Councillor Lalonde.

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Councillor Lalonde was appointed as Vice Chair, Community Viability Sub-Committee by acclamation.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-695 Bradley/Dupuis: THAT **Councillor Kilgour** be appointed as Chair and **Councillor Lalonde** be appointed as Vice-Chair of the Community Viability Sub-Committee of the Priorities Committee of Council for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

CARRIED

Item R-7 Appointment - Chair & Vice Chair - Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding Appointment of Chair and Vice Chair, Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee - 2003 was received.

Nominations for the position of **Chair, Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee** were opened.

Councillor Bradley nominated Councillor Dupuis Councillor Davey nominated Councillor Craig

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Following a poll of Council, **Councillor Craig** was appointed as **Chair, Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee.** 

Nominations for the position of Vice Chair, Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee were opened.

Councillor Courtemanche nominated Councillor Dupuis

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Councillor Dupuis was appointed as Vice Chair, Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee by acclamation.

# Item R-7 (Continued)

The following resolution was presented:

2002-696 Dupuis/Bradley: THAT **Councillor Craig** be appointed as Chair and **Councillor Dupuis** be appointed as Vice Chair of the Public & Intergovernmental Affairs Sub-Committee of the Priorities Committee of Council for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

**CARRIED** 

Item R-8 Appointment - Chair & Vice Chair - Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee Report dated 2002-11-22 from the General Manager, Corporate Services and Acting General Manager, Emergency Services regarding Appointment of Chair & Vice Chair, Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee - 2003 was received.

Nominations for the position of Chair, Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee were opened.

Councillor Petryna nominated Councillor Callaghan

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. Councillor Callaghan was appointed as Chair, Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee by acclamation.

Nominations for the position of Vice Chair, Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee were opened.

Councillor Callaghan nominated Mayor Gordon

There being no further nominations, nominations were closed. **Mayor Gordon** was appointed as **Vice Chair, Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee** by acclamation.

The following resolution was presented:

2002-697 Bradley/Kilgour: THAT **Councillor Callaghan** be appointed as Chair and **Mayor Gordon** be appointed as Vice Chair of the Financial & Program Accountability Sub-Committee of the Priorities Committee of Council for the term ending November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

**CARRIED** 

#### **ADDENDUM**

### Addendum to Agenda

The following resolution was presented:

2002-698 Kilgour/Bradley: That the Addendum to the Agenda be dealt with at this time.