Potential Acquisition Priority Rating System

High conservation value (4 or 5) e.g. contains a unique natural feature, has significant ecological value, contains a recognized natural heritage feature *and/or* **High recreational value (4 or 5)** -There is no other park of that type in the service area - it fills a need for a neighbourhood or natural park in a neighbourhood without	**At high risk** **(5)** - slated for development, or there is some other immediate threat **(4)** – zoned for development, or there is some other imminent threat	**5**
	Moderate risk (3) - no current or imminent threat, although risk is anticipated	**4**
	Low risk (1 or 2) no anticipated threat **and** park development is needed to reach full potential	**3**
	Low risk (1 or 2) no anticipated threat	**2**
Moderate conservation value (3) e.g. a healthy natural area with moderate ecological value and attractiveness *and/or* **Moderate recreational value (3)** e.g. has some recreational value in an area whose parkland is already sufficient; has potential for a unique recreational use in the area; traditional use demonstrates moderate value by residents	**At high risk** **(5)** - slated for development, or there is some other immediate threat **(4)** – zoned for development, or there is some other imminent threat	**3**
	Moderate risk **(3)** - no current or imminent threat, although risk is anticipated	**2**
	Low risk (1 or 2) no anticipated threat	**1**
Low conservation value (1 or 2) *and* **Low recreational value (1 or 2)**	**Any level of risk**	**0**